1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2 3	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	BRADLEY D. PRICE (ILBN 6294571) Special Assistant United States Attorney		
5 6 7	150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5080 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: bradley.price@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for the United States of America		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 10-00624 DLJ	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM January 21,	
16	v. )	) 2011 THROUGH JANUARY 25, 2011 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
17	LUIS MIGUEL TORRES-VERDUZCO,	CALCULATION	
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	The Parties, Luis Miguel Torres-Verduzco, and the United States, acting through		
21	respective counsel, hereby stipulate that the time between January 21, 2011 and January 25,		
22	2011 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant		
23	the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, and		
24	defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the		
25	exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting		
26	the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy		
27	trial and in the		
28	//		
	II.		

## Case 5:10-cr-00624-DLJ Document 21 Filed 01/25/11 Page 2 of 3

1	//	
2	prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).	
3		
4	DATED: January 21, 2011	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
5		Officed States Attorney
6		/s/
7		Assistant United States Attorney
8		
9		SCOTT FREWING
10		Attorney for Defendant
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
28		
20		

1 ORDER

The Court Hereby ORDERS that the time between January 21, 2011 and January 25, 2011 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 25, 2011

D. LOWELL JENSEN United States District Judge